

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/647,788      | 08/26/2003                            | Pierre Rondeau       | RP-00128-US50       | 3677             |
| 28735           | 7590 08/12/2005                       |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
|                 | IER RECREATIONA                       | FLEMING              | , FAYE M            |                  |
|                 | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT PO BOX 230 |                      |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
| NORTON, V       | T 05907-0230                          |                      | 3616                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany  | 10/647,788  | RONDEAU ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Faye M. Fleming   | 3616   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appr<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim<br>within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju   | <u>ly 2005</u> .  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This   | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 33 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori  | have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/13/05.</li> </ol>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:   | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/647,788

Art Unit: 3616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura (6,622,806) ) in view of Katsuoka (4,527,831).

Matsuura teaches an ATV comprising a frame 12; only four wheels suspended from the frame, two of which are front wheels and two which are rear wheels, the front wheels defining a front axis and the rear wheels defining a rear axis; a power unit; a straddle-type seat supported including a main seat portion and a secondary seat portion, rearward of the main portion; a steering member comprising handlebars; and a pair of grab handles via 46.

Matsuura teaches the claimed invention except a pair of passenger's footrests.

Katsuoka teaches a motorcycle comprising right and left footrests including driver's footrest and passenger's footrest. Based on the teachings of Katsuoka, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ATV of Matsuura to have passenger's footrests to provide support for a passenger's foot.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,788

Art Unit: 3616

With respect to the wheelbase, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the wheelbase a specific size, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

With respect to the straddle-type seat, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the seat arranged such that the center of gravity is in the front of the rear axis by a specific distance and/or rearwardly of the front axis by a specific distance, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to the distance between front axis and the rear axis, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have a ratio of a distance between the front axis and the center of gravity to a distance between the center of gravity and the rear axis a specific value, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to the tire's air pressure, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have a specific amount of air in the tires, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 3616

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye M. Fleming whose telephone number is (571) 272-6672. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

ALL OHIL 2010

fmf